UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/669,648	09/25/2003	Prasad Golla	Q77710	7770
23373 7590 05/29/2008 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			EXAMINER	
			WONG, XAVIER S	
	SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			PAPER NUMBER
			2616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/29/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application/Control Number: 10/669,648 Page 2

Art Unit: 2616

Remarks

The applicant argues that **Blanquer** does not teach "each server comprising a

scheduler module which is independent for each server" as recited in claim 1. The

examiner cited Blanquer because Blanquer's scheduler schedules flows separately for

each scheduler and it would not place the claim in condition for allowance due to the

obviousness between centralized (Blanquer) versus decentralized (claimed) schedulers

for similar purpose of independently scheduling each server accordingly.

The applicant further argues that neither **Blanquer** nor **Nemirovsky** teach

"plurality of servers being associated with a respective one of the plurality of resources."

First, "resources" is not clearly defined in the claim language as to what the "resources"

perform. Further, the "queue dedicated to one or a set of resources" wherein when the

"resources" of Nemirovsky are implemented in between the output ports and

destinations (e.g. structurally via the resources) of **Blanquer**, they serve similar purpose of

prioritized scheduling since the priority of each stream determines instant access to

"resources" (Nemirovsky [0037]).

Xavier Szewai Wong

X.S.W / x.s.w

22nd May 2008

/Seema S. Rao/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2616